

Frederik C. Krebs* and Holger Spanggaard. An Exceptional Red Shift of Emission Maxima upon Fluorine Substitution.

Page 7185, Abstract. The final sentence of the Abstract should read, "The observed ionization potential was 6.15 eV for **6** and 5.85 eV for **7**", instead of "The observed ionization potential was 4.55 eV in both cases".

Page 7190, right column. The text should read, "The photoelectron spectroscopy data show that the ionization potential was 6.15 eV for **6** and 5.85 eV for **7**", instead of "The photoelectron spectroscopy data show that the ionization potentials are identical for **6** and **7**".

Page 7190, right column. The text should read, "It is also evident that the ionization potentials for **6** and **7** are very similar, but the relative position of the energy levels for **6** is indeed lower as a result of the inductive effect of the fluorine atoms", instead of "It is also evident that the magnitude of the ionization potential is identical for **6** and **7**, but the relative position of the every levels is indeed lower for **6** as a result of the inductive effect of the fluorine atoms".

Page 7192, Table 6. The title and numerical data in Table 6 should have appeared as shown below. The description in the Supporting Information has been changed accordingly.

TABLE 6. Data from the Photoelectron Spectra for Thin Films of **6 and **7** on Polycrystalline Gold Substrates Having a Work Function of 5.3 eV Using Incident Photons with an Energy of 50 eV**

compound	E_F^{VB}	E_F^{VAC}	cutoff	Δ	IP
6	1.60	4.55	-45.45	-0.75	6.15
7	1.30	4.55	-45.45	-0.75	5.85

JO049476H

10.1021/jo049476h

Published on Web 04/20/2004